

Remarks/Arguments:

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-24 and 37-54 remain in the application.

In item 2 on page 2 of the above-identified Office action, claims 1-24 and 37-54 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-50 of U.S. Patent No. 6,612,858.

In order to facilitate prosecution of the application, enclosed herewith is a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (c). Accordingly, claim 1-24 and 37-54 are believed to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-24 and 37-54 are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel respectfully requests a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.


Applic. No. 10/613,350  
Amdt. dated July 26, 2004  
Reply to Office action of May 7, 2004

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition  
for extension is herewith made.

Since it is believed that only allowable claims remain, the  
early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is solicited.

Please charge any other fees which might be due with respect  
to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner &  
Greenberg P.A., No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

 **Alfred K. Dassler**  
**52,794**  
For Applicant(s)

AKD:cgm

July 26, 2004

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.  
Post Office Box 2480  
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480  
Tel: (954) 925-1100  
Fax: (954) 925-1101